911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee

Minutes

Thursday, March 15, 2018 ~ 1:30 P.M. REGIONAL EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER 5195 SPECTRUM BOULEVARD, RENO, NEVADA

MEMBERS

Doug Campbell, Chair Mac Venzon, Vice-chair Bill Ames Gregg Deighton Jenny Hansen Aaron Kenneston Shawn McEvers Duane Meyer Teresa Wiley

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL [Non-action item]

Chair Campbell called the meeting to order at 1:34 p.m. A quorum was established.

PRESENT: Bill Ames, Doug Campbell, Gregg Deighton, Jenny Hansen, Aaron Kenneston, Duane

Meyer, Mac Venzon and Teresa Wiley.

ABSENT: Shawn McEvers.

Jen Gustafson – Deputy District Attorney, was also present.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENTS** [Non-action item]

There were no public comments.

3. APPROVAL OF JANUARY 18, 2018, MINUTES [For possible action]

It was moved by Member Venzon, seconded by Member Wiley, to approve the January 18, 2018, minutes, as submitted.

There were no public comments.

The motion carried: Members Ames, Deighton, Hansen, Kenneston, Meyer, Venzon, Wiley and Chair Campbell assenting: Member McEvers absent.

4. REVENUE, PAYABLES AND FUND BALANCE UPDATES [For possible action] – *A review, discussion and possible action to accept the Revenue, Payables, and Fund Balance Updates.*

Sara DeLozier, Washoe County Technology Services, drew attention to the \$6,000.00 increase in revenue received from a new source. Ms. DeLozier commented that all travel/training expenses, including the January approval, were included in the updated report.

Responding to Quinn Korbulic's inquiry about whether the \$6,000.00 additional received would be a monthly receipt, Ms. DeLozier explained that this particular vendor paid for a year and is not anticipated to be a regular monthly payment.

Mr. Korbulic noted that vendors such as TracFone, a pay as you go provider, did not necessarily pay into the 911 fund.

March 15, 2018 Page 2 of 13

There were no public comments.

It was moved by Member Wiley, seconded by Member Meyer, to accept the Revenue, Payables and Fund Balance reports, as presented.

5. FISCAL YEAR 2017-2018 BUDGET AUGMENTATION [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to recommend that the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners augment the Fiscal Year 2017-2018 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee budget to allow additional expenditures not to exceed \$300,000, utilizing the 911 Fund reserve to cover additional expenditures; individual expenses utilizing the 911 fund reserve shall be reviewed by the 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee.

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, explained that with the body camera requirement there will be additional expenses specifically for the initial phase for each agency. The \$300,000.00 augmentation from the reserves will cover \$150,000.00 being sought under agenda item 7 as well as network enhancement and equipment costs. Mr. Korbulic believes that there may be additional costs forthcoming and that he had not received quotes from the City of Reno or Washoe County as yet.

Responding to Member Wiley's inquiry about reserves for the CAD server, Sara DeLozier explained that if revenues come in less than the budgeted \$1.6 million there would be a shortfall.

Mr. Korbulic explained that potential costs for Washoe County are unknown and that the County has started to work with the vendor. The augmentation will provide a cushion to allow for some of the costs for the Reno and Sparks.

Member Hansen suggested that perhaps it would be prudent to look at a regional solution to video upload given the footprint of the County as it appears to be a good cost sharing opportunity.

Mr. Korbulic noted that the target system is for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office with capabilities at the Parr Boulevard, Longley Lane and incline Village sites for video upload.

Member Ames commented that he had talked to Axon and Washoe County School District Police about sharing antennas on some school sites to capture and upload video.

M. Korbulic explained that this is a budget augmentation not a commitment to expend. Additionally all expenditures will come back to this board for approval.

Responding to Member Venzon's inquiry about how the reserve fund is replenished, Mr. Korbulic explained that the funds are replaced as funds are remitted by vendors and is not a separate account, simply a "dotted line" of what is held in reserve. If approved and spent, the \$300,000.00 augmentation will reduce the reserve to \$200,000.00.

There were no public comments.

March 15, 2018 Page 3 of 13

It was moved by Member Ames, seconded by member Meyer to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approve the augmentation of Fiscal Year 2017-2018 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee budget to allow additional expenditures not to exceed \$300,000, utilizing the 911 Fund reserve to cover additional expenditures; individual expenses utilizing the 911 fund reserve shall be reviewed by the 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee. The motion carried with Member Evers absent.

Chair Campbell reordered the agenda.

7. REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR FUNDING THE FIRST YEAR CONTRACTS FOR BODY WORN CAMERAS AND RELATED SUPPLIES [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approve reimbursements to the Washoe County Sheriff's Office (up to \$50,000.00), the Reno Police Department (up to \$50,000), and the Sparks Police Department (up to \$50,000) for each agency's respective first year vendor contracts to outfit the agencies with body worn cameras and related supplies beginning April 1, 2018.

Member Venzon – expressed his appreciation to Member Ames for working with the Cities and Axom to structure quotes so that this body can make the recommendation to fund the first year. Axom has expressed a willingness to work with the region to cover the body cams with costs amortized without finance costs so that the community and this body can generate revenues to fund the body cam mandate.

Responding to Member Kenneston's inquiry about whether this was inclusive of all bodies specified in the state's mandate, Member Venzon stated that it should cover all those specified in the state mandate.

There were no public comments.

It was moved by Member Wiley, seconded Member Venzon, to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approve reimbursements to the Washoe County Sheriff's Office (up to \$50,000.00), the Reno Police Department (up to \$50,000), and the Sparks Police Department (up to \$50,000) for each agency's respective first year vendor contracts to outfit the agencies with body worn cameras and related supplies beginning April 1, 2018, for a total of \$150,000.00. The motion carried with Member McEvers absent.

6. WASHOE COUNTY DATA NETWORK COSTS FOR PORTABLE EVENT RECORDING DEVICES [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approve reimbursement for data network circuits to support Portable Event Recording Device video upload at three Washoe County Sheriff's Office locations not to exceed \$42,804.00.

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, outlined the request for reimbursement of costs of new data circuits to support Portable Event Recording Device video at the three Washoe County Sheriff's Office locations in the amount of \$42,802.00.

March 15, 2018 Page 4 of 13

Tony Kiriluk – Washoe County Technology Services, provided an overview of the request to fund data network circuits to support Portable Event Recording Devices video. Other expenses will be brought forward at a future meeting for internal building infrastructure for network segmentation to keep business data and other data separate from the body camera video. The three Washoe County Sheriff Office locations, Parr Boulevard, Longley Lane and Incline Village will be equipped docking stations for portable units for upload to cloud based storage. Washoe County is currently working with Charter Communications for the necessary bandwidth who has indicated they would defer billing until July 1, 2018. A request for funding will be made at the Board of County Commissioner to begin the process and abate asbestos where necessary. Mr. Kiriluk believes the same structure could be used by other jurisdictions by working with Axom. The intent is to avoid wireless download of portable body cam equipment by using a docking station.

During the discussion it was suggested that not only should this be used for officer involved incidents but also regional incidents. Other discussion noted that the costs were thought to be included in the 5-year Master Plan update.

Stu Cronan - Galena Group, stated that the specific cost was included in the 5-year Master Plan as well as contingencies.

Discussion then noted that the City of Sparks is order a similar connection and pointed out that access points need to be identified and support gear in place. Other discussion noted that funding for the body cam requirement might not be available until after July 1, 2018 and that the region should consider having a regional solution that includes all three entities.

Rishma Khimji – City of Reno, commented that the City would seek reimbursement of certain costs after July 1, 2018.

Member Ames commented that the most efficient way to transfer data from the portable equipment is via a USB drive based on information received from Axom.

Member Kenneston noted his desire to assure that dispatch projects are current.

Mr. Korbulic noted that this is for the next fiscal year not the current year and is not part of the \$300,000.00 discussed earlier.

Jen Gustafson – Deputy District Attorney, noted that that agenda language indicates a reimbursement of \$42,000.00

Mr. Kiriluk noted that the fund will not be needed until the next fiscal year.

Member Ames suggested that it would be prudent to delay action on this until the divisions work together and bring forward a single agenda item.

March 15, 2018 Page 5 of 13

Member Meyer concurred noting that additional funding should be available after the start of the 2018-2019 fiscal year.

During the discussion it was emphasized that it is essential that the circuits be in place so as not to fall behind on the upload of video. As the discussion continued, suggested that Washoe County staff work with Washoe County Management to identify funding sources. As the discussion continued, it was noted that staff would continue to work with AXOM and the District Attorney on how best to focus on the upload of video data.

It was moved by Member Venzon, seconded by Member Ames, to continue Item 6 until the May 17, 2018, meeting.

Member Hansen noted that the same issues may be encountered in May and suggested that the matter be continued until the next fiscal year.

Member Ames explained that the contract is not payable until the next fiscal year.

Member Venzon commented that he believes it prudent to have all the requests at one meeting to better understand the total costs and avoid unanticipated costs as it moves forward among the three agencies.

Member Hansen concurred that this be continued and come back after the start of the next fiscal year.

Member Ames outlined his concerns about waiting until the new fiscal year and prefers to review the request at the May meeting.

Mr. Korbulic explained that he would review the 2018-2019 budget to include the surcharge increase and additional costs. The intent is to allow the board to begin discussion of the next fiscal year's commitments.

Member Meyer concurred with Member Hansen and commented that he believes the reimbursement can be discussed at the July 2018 meeting.

Member Hansen recommended that the focus of the May meeting be on the larger budget including line items for body cam infrastructure. Member Hansen pointed out that the early review would allow an opportunity to review the budget if necessary and allow the region an opportunity to bring it forward as a regional process.

Chair Campbell noted the pending motion and second to continue the agenda item until the May meeting.

Ms. Gustafson suggested that the Chair determine whether there is any preference in amending the motion or move forward with a vote to see where it goes.

March 15, 2018 Page 6 of 13

Member Venzon commented that he preferred to withdraw the motion as stated and propose a motion that includes the matters discussed.

Member Venzon withdrew the motion, Member Ames withdrew the second.

It was moved by Member Wiley, seconded by Member Hansen, to continue agenda item 6 until the July 2018 meeting.

Responding to an inquiry as to whether the delay would impact the region's ability to meet the July 1, 2018, deadline, Mr. Kiriluk stated that staff would proceed with execution of the contract.

Rishma Khimji – City of Reno, stated that the City of Reno is willing to wait until July for reimbursement.

There were no public comments

It was noted that the intent is for all agencies to submit reimbursement request at the July 2018, meeting.

The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting recessed at 2:33 p.m. and reconvened at 2:43 p.m.

8. REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AND TRAINING FUNDING FOR WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION PSAP [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request for funding for the costs associated with two staff members to attend the TriTech's 2018 TriCON Annual Training Conference in an amount not to exceed \$4,500.00 for travel, registration, seminars, and meetings.

Sharon Goodman – Washoe County, provided an overview of the travel training request not to exceed \$4,500.00.

There were no public or board comments.

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, noted that there was sufficient budget for all travel/training requests on the agenda.

Responding to Member Wiley inquiry about attendee names that has been required in the past, Member Ames stated that the staff report indicated that Sharon Goodman and Heather Meredith would be attending. Additionally, Research and Development personnel Joe Barainca and Ron Soderblom are also attending, and are being funded by the Sheriff.

It was moved by Member Hansen, seconded by Member Venzon, to approve the travel training request for two staff members to attend the TriTech's 2018 TriCON Annual Training

March 15, 2018 Page 7 of 13

Conference in an amount not to exceed \$4,500.00 for travel, registration, seminars, and meetings. The motion carried unanimously.

9. REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AND TRAINING FUNDING FOR CITY OF RENO PUBLIC SAFETY DISPATCH PSAP [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request for funding for the costs associated with two staff members to attend the NENA 2018 Pre-Conference for a cost not to exceed \$2,800.00 for registration, seminars, and meetings. These are the same staff members that we have requested to attend the full NENA Conference June 17, 2018 through June 21, 2018.

Chair Campbell opened the agenda item.

Member Hansen noted that with recent promotions this agenda item was not needed as staff would not be attending the pre-conference.

The item was withdrawn from the agenda and no specific action was taken.

10. REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AND TRAINING FUNDING FOR CITY OF RENO PUBLIC SAFETY DISPATCH PSAP [For possible action] – *A review, discussion and possible action to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request for funding for the costs associated with two staff members to attend the NENA 2018 conference for a cost not to exceed \$6,400.00 for travel, registration, seminars, and meetings.*

Chair Campbell opened the agenda item for travel registration noting that only one staff member would be attending.

Dena Moore – City of Reno, provide an overview of the request noting that only one staff member would be attending thus reducing the request to \$3,200.00.

It was moved by Member Ames, seconded by Member Meyer, to approve one staff member to attend the NENA 2018 conference for a cost not to exceed \$3,200.00 for travel, registration, seminars, and meetings.

There were no public comments,

The motion carried unanimously.

11. FUNDING REQUEST – PRO-QA PRIORITY DISPATCH FUNDING FOR CITY OF RENO PUBLIC SAFETY DISPATCH PSAP [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request for funding for the Reno Public Safety Dispatch for the ProQA-Priority Dispatch Medical Software licensing, training, quality assurance, support and accreditation associated with implementing Emergency Fire Dispatch (EFD) services for a cost not to exceed \$ 125,475.00.

Chair Campbell opened the agenda item.

March 15, 2018 Page 8 of 13

Dena Moore – City of Reno Public Safety Dispatch, outlined the request for the ProQA-Priority Dispatch medical Software Licensing, training, quality assurance, support and accreditation associated with implementation of Emergency Fire Dispatch. Ms. Moore noted that staff had researched the use of the existing license but the costs were identical. This will allow the City of Reno to have a separate system standard that will enhance quality.

Rishma Khimji – City of Reno, explained that request will provide a seamless integration with the CAD and provide the same to Washoe County leading to greater agency cooperation and interoperability.

Responding to Member Wiley's inquiry about having Washoe County extend an existing license to cover the City of Reno. Member Hansen explained that the \$49,000.00 cost of extension was dependent on the number of positions and did not include the upgrade or maintenance making the savings a wash. Hence the City of Reno IT department can now grow the CAD system at a better cost and all applications and software as needed. Additionally, this is an option that will allow police, fire and emergency medical personnel a standard of care and delivery of dispatch services.

During a brief discussion it was suggested that this item be delayed until after July 1, 2018, to avoid dipping further into the contingency fund.

Member Hansen encouraged member agencies to look at the development of new projects budget that incorporated the long range view with a 3-year technology refresh and all things related to 911 equipment.

Ms. Moore noted that this was only for Fire Dispatch and that high level discussions with her chain of command and Reno Fire have been ongoing on staffing, training and academic project and other protocols.

Member Hansen explained that she had been involved in high level discussions with her chain of command as well as Reno Fire since October 2017. At which time it was not known that this request would be in competition with body worn camera issues. Additionally, staff has been working with ProQA to develop a region wide process.

Member Ames expressed his support noting that, in his opinion, it is a better protocol for dispatching and would support supervisor training.

During the discussion it was noted that moving forward with the request at this point would require the use of contingency funds. Other discussion focused on the decision not to seek coverage under the Washoe County license. It was explained that Washoe County has different protocols and polices for dispatch than the City of Reno and that the inherent costs were very similar. Thus a decision was made to move forward with a separate license for the City of Reno.

Karl Holden commented that both TMFPD and Incline Village use a different protocol that is card dependent.

March 15, 2018 Page 9 of 13

Rishma Khimji – City of Reno, explained that the City needed to develop correct procedures and protocols based on the Reno Fire Department protocols and procedures. Additionally, the pricing for using the existing Washoe County license and obtaining a license for the City was a wash.

Member Hansen concurred with Ms. Khimji and pointed out that the intent is to develop a roadmap for growth for CAD and protocols that allow for the economy of scale at the local level. The intent is to work closely with the vendor with the upgrade being initially deployed within about three weeks.

Responding to Member Meyer's inquiry about a full presentation of ProQA on perhaps regionalizing the process, Ms. Khimji stated she would be happy to arrange the presentation noting that the agencies each have different goals and missions.

There were no public comments.

It was moved by Member Hansen, seconded by Member Ames to continue the agenda item until the July 19, 2018, meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

12. FUNDING REQUEST – AUTOMATIC VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) FUNDING FOR THE CITY OF RENO FIRE DEPARTMENT [For possible action] – A review, discussion and possible action to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request for funding for the Reno Fire Department with Tiburon for software licensing, training, project management and configuration associated with implementing Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) services for a cost not to exceed \$10,000.00.

Dave Cochran - Reno Fire, commented that this was not just the City of Reno but the region to determine if this is a viable standard that will assist in the deployment of resources more quickly. The intent is to implement the program and iron out the bugs while moving towards implementation with other organizations.

Rishma Khimji – City of Reno, commented that this is a quote for the AVL program including training. The AVL assists in the location of the nearest Reno Fire Department equipment that does routing based on procedure and police enroute. This includes three days of training to set up the configuration and assist in development of the calculated table and simulated activities. The AVL will be available in Tiburon and available to all agencies. The vendor will be on site to audit the existing licensing and assure that the City has the correct licensure. It is Ms. Khimji's understanding that the audit will not result in additional financial burden. However, should a fee be required for the licensing as a whole the City would seek reimbursement. This particular request is only for the City of Reno.

Member Meyer commented that he could not support the request as he is not convinced that is qualifies under the NRS requirements.

Jen Gustafson – Deputy District Attorney, commented that without additional discussion with staff she cannot provide a legal opinion on the cost associated with the request and whether it is associated with and necessary for the operation of the enhanced system.

March 15, 2018 Page 10 of 13

Ms. Khimji stated that she would redo the staff report if not approved noting that the City does pay for staff to take training for new services.

Member Meyer noted that while the Board has routinely taken a broad interpretation of the NRS in previous years the training that Ms. Khimji referenced is tied to the 911 systems and 911 call taking software not AVL. Member Meyer noted that while AVL is a great idea, it is not tied to the 911 system nor the call taking software. Therefore, Member Meyer does not believe the purchase of the program is an appropriate use of 911 funds.

Chief Cochran noted that this does, in his opinion, tie into the most effective way to accomplish dispatching services and that the 911 Board should fund the request.

Member Ames concurred with the request noting his understanding of how it works through consoles. It is Member Ames' belief that the request does fit the definition and that it will take time to implement.

Chief Cochran noted that the ultimate goal is to have this as a regional resource and that the intent is to have Reno Fire as the test and then move to other services. Chief Cochran noted that the City of Reno would move forward.

Member Ames explained that he believes this is an appropriate use of 911 Funds that should be approved so that the region can move forward.

Member Kenneston also expressed his support for the request.

Ms. Gustafson read the following into the record:

NRS 244A.7645

(1) Paying recurring and nonrecurring charges for telecommunication services necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system; (2) Paying costs for personnel and training associated with the routine maintenance and updating of the database for the system; (3) Purchasing, leasing or renting the equipment and software necessary to operate the enhanced telephone system, including, without limitation, equipment and software that identify the number or location from which a call is made; and (4) Paying costs associated with any maintenance, upgrade and replacement of equipment and software necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system.

Chair Campbell opened public comment,

Ms. Khimji drew attention to point 3 noting that this would allow dispatch of the closest response unit based on the location of the call for service. Ms. Khimji also explained that the software is associated with the Tiburon System that is used for dispatching

Stu Cronan – Galen Group, noted that the AVL is rolled into the refresh of the CAD system.

March 15, 2018 Page 11 of 13

Member Ames concurred with Mr. Cronan's assessment and pointed out that this enhancement will provide better service and safety for the public and fire personnel.

During a brief discussion it was noted that this is a small dollar amount and that if the enhancement makes a difference in just one instance it is money well spent.

It was moved by Member Hansen, seconded by Member Venzon, to approve the funding for the Reno Fire Department with Tiburon for software licensing, training, project management and configuration associated with implementing Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) services for a cost not to exceed \$10,000.00.

Member Meyer reiterated his concern that the request does not fit the NRS definition for use of 911 funds.

The motion carried: Members Ames, Deighton, Hansen, Kenneston, Venzon and Chair Campbell assenting; Members Meyer and Wiley dissenting; and Member McEvers absent.

13. INFORMATIONAL UPDATE ON ABANDONMENT OF LOCAL PSAP's [Non-action item] – An informational update on the meetings between Washoe County, Reno and Sparks PSAP's on the abandonment of any of these PSAP's and future funding requests.

Teresa Wiley, Sparks Police Department, suggested a future agenda item to discuss funding for equipment in the next fiscal year in the even a PSAP (Public Safety Answering Point) has to be abandoned in the future.

14. FIRST NET IMPLEMENTATION AND TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW [Non-action item] – *An informational presentation on FirstNet, the role of Washoe County in its implementation and governance; and the technological challenges of FirstNet and associated costs.*

Tony Kiriluk – Washoe County Technology Services, narrated a PowerPoint® presentation, (copy on file) and outlined the discussions with AT&T, WCSO (Washoe County Sheriff's Office) and other agencies on the buildout of First Net implementation and technology.

Members Ames and Hansen left the meeting at 3:34 p.m.

Mr. Kiriluk explained that the FirstNet nationwide network for public safety agencies within the United States is intended to consolidate all users to the same standard. The systems uses a high speed wireless broadband internet and users priority and preemption standard that allows all public safety agencies priority access. Mr. Kiriluk commented that the system is intended to reach outlying areas such as Gerlach, Nevada, that has been somewhat problematic in the past. The FirstNet system will enhance communications during major events such as Burning Man in the rural areas where communications are limited.

Member Hansen rejoined the meeting at 3:41 p.m.

March 15, 2018 Page 12 of 13

Mr. Kiriluk noted that each user will have to provide their own APNs at a cost of \$500.00. Mr. Kiriluk noted the limited number of fiber-optic vendors in the region. Washoe County staff will discuss the FirstNet system with other agencies in the region that may have an interest in moving forward with FirstNet.

Member Ames rejoined the meeting at 3:46 p.m.

Mr. Kiriluk commented that some of the initial costs, which may be significant and may qualify for certain grants. However, ongoing costs for the use and operation of the system will not be eligible for grant funding. During a recent presentation it was suggested that service to Gerlach could be in place as soon as three year.

Member Hansen expressed her appreciation for the presentation and noted that this is another area in which short, mid and long term coordination could be beneficial to the region. It is critical that the region carefully consider the options to avoid being led by what appears to be great capability and promise. Member Hansen suggested that a subcommittee work with others including the rural areas to develop an overarching plan for such a network.

15. 911 SURCHARGE REVIEW, REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) PROGRESS REPORT [Non-action item] – An informational update on Washoe County's progress on the RFP: "Review of E911 Fees and Telecommunications Business License Fees."

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, noted that late last year Washoe County had released an RFP for Proposal to Review the 911 F. To date a final decision has not been made on the vendor. Mr. Korbulic commented that legal counsel has confirmed that 911 Surcharge funds cannot be used for this particular project. Mr. Korbulic commented that a discussion of the review costs needs to be undertaken by the three agencies on cost sharing for the review. Additionally, Member Kenneston is on the review committee.

Member Kenneston stated that he has been a strong proponent of doing the audit of vendors as there have been estimated of a million to a million and a half in revenues could be recovered. However, the local jurisdictions will be responsible for the cost of the audit which would come from their General Fund.

Responding to Member Hansen's inquiry about the cost associated with the proposed project, Mr. Korbulic explained that there have been two methods proposed one a fix fee ranging from \$50,000.00 to \$95,000.00 which has to be paid upfront and second a contingency of as much as 25-percent of the funds recovered.

16. INFORMATIONAL UPDATE ON BODY WORN CAMERA LEGISLATION [SB 176] [Non-action item] – *An informational update on the 2017 body worn camera legislation, and its impacts to the 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee ("ERAC") and 911 surcharge funds.*

March 15, 2018 Page 13 of 13

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, commented that while he had not been able to attend the meeting the conclusion of the Business Impact study indicated there is no substantial business impact and that there had been no objections filed. There was no comment or discussion by the Board of County Commissioners after the reading.

Member Ames noted that Member Venzon – City of Reno, Chair Campbell and Lieutenant Crawforth from the City of Sparks had also been in attendance.

17. 911 EMERGENCY RESPONSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER AND/OR STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS, REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION AND SELECTION OF TOPICS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS [Non-action item] — No discussion among committee members will take place on this item. The next regular meeting is scheduled at 1:30 p.m., May 17, 2018.

Teresa Wiley announced that she would be retiring from the City of Sparks on March 29, 2018, and that the City would appoint a replacement.

Member Hansen wished Member Wiley good luck in her retirement.

Member Hansen suggested an agenda item to encourage member agencies to pool resources to fund the surcharge audit

Quinn Korbulic – Washoe County Technology Services, commented that a request will be included in 2018-2019 budget and work plan to invite law enforcement and dispatch to provide input on future costs due to the number of unknowns.

18. PUBLIC COMMENT [Non-action item]

There were no public comments.

19. ADJOURNMENT [Non-action item]

Chair Campbell adjourned the meeting at 4:08 p.m.

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED BY THE 911 EMERGENCY RESPONSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE IN SESSION ON APRIL 19, 2018.